

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Has the Chair suspended all the Rules

Mr. SPEAKER.—The one Rule that governs the situation is, when the Speaker is on his legs, no Members should stand.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, please allow me.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not allowing any body.

The question is :

“ That clause 3 stand part of the Bill ”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.— The question is :

“ That Clause 1, the Preamble and the Title stand part of the Bill ”.

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Preamble and the Title were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, I beg to move :

“ That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1963, be passed. ”

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“ That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1963, be passed. ”

The motion was adopted.

Member's Representation

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Sir if this is the procedure to be followed, how can we take part in the debates ? If our mouths are gagged by this time-limit and discretion, what is the use of taking part here ? That is the point. Now, we have to discuss another Bill. If the Speaker, with the consent of the Leader of the House, restricts the time for 5 or 10 minutes, it will be a mere farce. I do not think this is a congenial convention and in accordance with the dignity of the House to restrict us from expressing our views on the various Bills including the one that has been already passed. So, I should like to know whether we have got right to express our views in regard to the Bills that are to come or whether our rights have been curtailed by any Rule or by the discretion of the Chair.

That point should be made clear. Then we will decide whether we are to take part or not.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member Sri Patil has raised a point. The question is made so general. So far as it relates to what has already

been done, that is, passing of the Appropriation Bill, the matter is over and I do not propose to deal with it. So far as the Bill now before the House, that is the Revenue Code is concerned, I do not think there is any scope for entertaining any fear that any member will be denied a lawful, proper opportunity consistent with the time which has already been consumed and what is remaining. The time allotted for this Bill is 7 hours. If this time is found to be short, I can entertain a suggestion for extension. That will be dealt with in accordance with the proper procedure at the proper time. The time allotment for this Bill was made by a resolution of this House when the Business Advisory Committee's report was passed. The time already spent on this Bill is 3½ hours. Where is the discretion to the Chair to do as it likes? It will have to be with the concurrence of the House. Out of the 7 hours, 3½ hours have already been spent. I have got the names of the persons who participated. Now 3½ hours are remaining. That will be utilised for this Bill and for nothing else. I do not understand why any fear should be entertained at all that there has been or that there is going to be any interference with the allotment or with the rights of members of the House. I can only say that rules will be adhered to and their rights will not be interfered with.

†ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ನಿಮ್ಮ, ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ವಿಷಯ ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲದೇ ಹೋದರೆ ಈ ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸತ್ಪಂಡ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ? ಅಥವಾ ಈ ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ ಬೆರೆ ಇವೆಯೇ; ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು follow ಮಾಡಬೇಕೇ; ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದನ್ನು follow ಮಾಡಬೇಕೇ; ಏನಾದರೂ ಕೇಳೋಣ, ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳೋಣ, ಎಂದು ನಿಂತು ಕೊಂಡರೆ... The Speaker then says: 'I am on my legs. You cannot stand.' Then I sit down. When the Speaker subsequently sits down and I rise again the Speaker says 'Sit down. You cannot speak'. When the Speaker is on his legs, I cannot and should not speak. When he sits down, then also I cannot speak. The Speaker says "Even after I sit down, you should not speak." If I persist, the Speaker says "I am going to deal with you sternly". If this is the case, what is the fate of a member of this august, Honourable House? How can he function here at all? What is the purpose that anyone is going to achieve here? Unless you guide, you throw some light, devise some ways, I think it is rather difficult for us to be here. One day you allow some members to discuss clause-by-clause whenever that is to be voted. Now, today it is a new and different procedure. All of a sudden you say 'I do not allow'. When I just wanted to show the provision in this respect, I was asked to sit down. I want to know whether the Chair had suspended the rule under which he has enormous powers. Even this question you did not allow to be brought to your notice. Please show us a way how to function here, when to speak, when not to speak. In the absence of such a thing, it becomes difficult for us. Sometimes you ask me to sit down...

MR. SPEAKER.—Please come to the point.

SRI C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—You say 'Until I ask you to get up do not get up'. Suppose I want to go to the latrine or to answer calls of nature, how can I go without getting up (laughter)

Mr. SPEAKER.—If there is any call of nature, it must be given top priority. How should I know whether a Member gets up to answer a call of nature or for speaking? (More laughter).

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I only say : please treat us also well. You take us also with you. This House has to function well. You say you want our cooperation. We are prepared to cooperate with you. But is this is the way? Is this cooperation? Is this the way that Members should be treated? If we are not allowed to express our views, I think it is rather difficult. I do not know what you would say if I quote the man who said something. I do not want to put it out because it may once again offend you. You will then say "What is it you said? You withdraw". You will say "this is not Duryodhana Sabha". I do not want to say that...

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member has a very nice way of doing wrong things. He said that he would not mention it; still he repeats it. He must be consistent.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Either you must allow us to respect the rules or you must ask us not to respect them. You can as well say, "You please take down whatever I say and follow it". This House has put the seal on the rules. It is based on the rules. We are bound by those rules. So, for Gods sake, I appeal to you: do not gag the mouth of the Members of the House and just ask us to be dumb animals to sit here for 3½ hours or 4 hours and take 16 rupees and go away from here. I request you not to curtail the rules and encroach upon our privileges. That is all my appeal. Either the rules must be revised or there is something else to rely upon and to guide us. I leave it at that. I leave the entire thing to your discretion and your good office to think for sometime and then tell us how best to function.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is so good of the Hon'ble Member to have emphasised it by repeating thrice. Before I say anything I will discuss with him privately. I invite him to my chambers.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—No, no.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If he wants he may come. The chair won't force him.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಬೇರೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಜುರು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಮುಂಚೆ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಪ್ರೋಪ್ರಿಯೇಷನ್ ಬಿಲ್ ಪಾಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ, ಅದು ಆಗಿಹೋಯಿತು, ನಾನು ಏನೂ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ. ಅದು ಕ್ರಮಪಾಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The chair will not allow discussion whether the Appropriation Bill has been properly done or invalidly done. It is over.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—174,175 ಎರಡು ಕ್ಲಾಸನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂಟರ್ ಪ್ರಿಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರೇ ಹೊರತು, ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ scope ಇಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The chair has mentioned distinctly, clearly and unequivocally that in so far as what has been done regarding the Appropriation Bill is not again open to debate or criticism. If there is anything new it may be brought to the notice If Sri GopalaGowda wants

to enlighten the chair on any point to be dealt with hereafter let him tell. But let him not raise the guesture of Appropriation Bill.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಅಗಿರುವ ತಪ್ಪು ಹೇಗೆ ಸರಿಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನೋಡೋಣ. We will begin the work now.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ತಾವು ಈ ಮನೂದೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಾದರೆ ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದ ಸಹಾಯ ಇಲ್ಲ, ನಾವು ತಯಾರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಅವರೇ ಮಾತನಾಡಲಿ. ನಮಗೆ ಸಾಕು ಎಂದು ಅವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಾದರೆ ಸಭೆ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಬಹುದು ಅಷ್ಟೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member is entitled to have his opinion and act on that. If there is nobody who desires to participate on the Revenue Code, I will call upon the Minister to reply.

In any case, the House will now adjourn for half-an-hour.

The House adjourned for recess at Three of the Clock and re-assembled at Thirty Minutes past Three of the Clock.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

Business of the House

Mr. SPEAKER.—Shall we now take up the Bill for discussion ? A list of names of those Members who have participated in the Debate is here and it is not necessary to announce these names.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—It would facilitate to know how many have already participated.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The total time allotted for this Bill is Seven hours, time used so far is 3½ hours and the following Members have participated in the Debate :

Sriyuths.—

1. S. Sivappa
2. H. D. Deve Gowda
3. S. M. Krishna
4. K. P. Revanasiddappa
5. C. J. Muckannappa
6. S. Gopala Gowda
7. G. Made Gowda
8. B. Huche Gowda
9. K. H. Ranganath

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Is there any time limit, Sir ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Shall it be fixed as twenty minutes? It all depends upon how many members are willing to participate now. There may not be many. As already mentioned, this Bill is to be erred to a Select Committee and the Chair has got the names of Members. Those that are probably in the Committee may not participate in the Debate.